STATISTICAL EVALUATION AND REVIEW

July 20, 1998 Date: BLA Type; FDA Number: 97-1325

ONTAK (denileukin diftitox injection) Product/Application:

Sponsor: Seragen Inc.

For the treatment of patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) which is Indication:

persistent or recurrent despite prior therapy.

Title of Document:

Original biological license application

From: Through: Ghanshyam Gupta, Ph.D.

P. A. Lachenbmch, Ph.D., Branch Chief, Biostatistics Poten Facility

HFM-2 15

Bernard Parker, M.D., HFM-573 To:

Lachenbruch /HFM-2 15 cc:

Keegan /HFM-573 Wolff / HFM-588 Ellenburg /HFM-2 10

Chron

Background

ONTAK is a novel fusion protein designed to direct the cytocidal action of diphtheria toxin, in a site-specific manner, to malignant cells which express the IL-2R. Cells expressing the IL-2R are found in clinical specimens of certain leukemias and lymphomas including mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome, the most common forms of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL).

This submission is based primarily on two completed clinical studies. The clinical data were supplied for these two studies and two incomplete studies on a CD-ROM. This report will examine the two completed clinical studies, P401 and P410. Simplified efficacy dataset for these two studies were received on disk on April 15, 1998.

P401 is a dose ranging (3 to 3 1 mcg/kg/d) study with 73 CTCL, non-Hodgkins lymphoma (NHL), and Hodgkins disease (HD) patients. The study drug was administered as a short intravenous infusion daily for 5 consecutive days per course, with subsequent courses every 3 weeks.

P410 evaluated two doses (9 mcg/kg/day, 18 mcg/kg/d) of ONTAK in 71 CTCL patients. This was a randomized, double-blind, two-arm study in patients with disease stage Ib to IVa. Study drug administration was similar to study P401. Demographic and medical characteristics of the patients in the two studies is provided below.

1

Table 1. Demographic characteristics.

		P410 (n=73)	P410 (n=71)	Total
Sex	Male	44 (60.3%)	37 (52.1%)	81
	Female	29 (39.7%)	34 (47.9%)	63
Race	Caucasian	32 (43.8%)	53 (74.7%)	85
	Black	7 (9.6%)	12 (16.9%)	19
	Other	34()	6()	40
Age	Mean ± SD	50.7 ± 18.4	60.8 ± 14.2	
Weight	Mean ± SD	75.6 ± 18.2	79.3 ± 17.7	

Table 2. Medical Characteristics

		P410 (n=73)	P410 (n=71)	Total
Prior Therapies	<=3	29 (39.7%)	9 (12.7%)	38
	>=4	44 (60.3%)	62 (87.3%)	106
CTCL Stage	Ia	2 (5.7%)	0).0%)	2
	Ib	6 (17.1%)	16 (22.5%)	22
	IIa	4 (11.4%)	10 (14.1%)	14
	IIb	6 (17.1%)	19 (26.8%)	25
	III	7 (20.0%)	11 (15.5%)	18
	IVa	9 (25.7%)	15 (21.1%)	24
	Ivb	1 (2.9)%	0 (0.0%)	1
Diagnosis	CTCL	35 (48.0%)	71 (100.0%)	106
	HD	21 (28.8%)	0 (0.0%0	21
	NHL	17 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	21

As noted earlier, P401 employed treatment doses from 6 mcg/kg/d to 3 1 mcg/kg/d and enrolled from 3 patients in the lowest dose group to a maximum of 18 patients in the 19 mcg/kg/d dose group. There were only 35 patients in the study with CTCL. Study P410 was entirely in CTCL patients. Demographic and medical characteristics of patients and the response rates are provided in the tables on next several pages. Study P401 was primarily a dose ranging study and therefore no statistical tests are provided. These results are provided from pages 3 to 7. Beginning page 8, results of the study P4 10 are provided. This compares the two doses of the treatment. Most of the analyses show no significant difference in the two doses.

STUDY = P401

WEIGHT (kg) By DOSE ADMINISTERED

	Means	and Std Dev	riations	
Dose	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
6	2	66.0000	2.1213	1.500
9	6	75.9333	22.8137	9.314
1 2	3	82.8333	33.0579	19.086
1 5	3	85.2333	23.8215	13.753
19	а	76.0500	15.7394	5.565
23	6	77.2333	15.3144	6.252
27	3	73.5000	15.3216	8.846
3 1	4	93.5500	23.5436	11.772

TOTAL # DOSES By DOSE ADMINISTERED

	Means	and Std De	viations	
Dose	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
6	2	22.00	24.04	17.00
9	6	16.50	14.38	5.87
12	3	26.67	12.58	7.27
15	3	la.33	18.93	10.93
19	а	20.13	1 2.28	4.34
23	6	22.33	8.41	3.43
27	3	23.00	7.21	4.16
3 1	4	6.75	5.68	2.84

TOTAL NUMBER OF COURSES By DOSE ADMINISTERED

	Means and	Std Dev	iations	
Dose	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
6	2	4.50	4.95	3.50
9	6	3.50	2.88	1.18
1 2	3	5.33	2.52	1.45
1 5	3	3.67	3.79	2.19
19	a	4.13	2.42	0.85
23	6	4.50	1.64	0.67
27	3	4.67	1.53	0.88
3 1	4	1.50	1 .00	0.50

AGE By DOSE ADMINISTERED

	Means	and Std Dev	iations	
Dose	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
6	2	67.00	9.90	7.00
9	6	57.33	13.11	5.35
12	3	57.67	19.14	11.05
15	3	58.33	14.57	8.41
19	a	62.63	11.06	3.91
23	6	60.17	17.66	7.21
27	3	-67.33	2.52	1:45
3 1	4	64.50	16.74	a.37

DISEASE DURATION (yrs) By DOSE ADMINISTERED

	Means	and Std Dev	riations	
Dose	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
6	2	2.10	0.62	0.44
9	6	2.69	2.42	0.99
12	3	4.83	5.97	3.45
15	3	3.46	0.96	0.55
19	8	2.84	2.45	0.87
23	6	5.66	4.35	1.78
27	2	3.57	4.70	3.32
3.1	3	2 80	1 96	1 13

TABLE OF RACE BY DOSE

RACE			RED	RED					
Frequency	6	9	12	15	19	23	27	31	Total
UNK	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	5
CAUCASIAN	0	3	3	1	3	5	2	2	19
SLACK	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	6
OTHER	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	5
Total	2	6	3	3	8	6	2	4	7 25

TABLE OF SEX BY DOSE

SEX	DOSE	ADMINISTERED

Frequency	6	9	12	15	19	23	27	31	Total
MALE	1	3	1	2	6	5	2	4	24
FEMALE	1	3	2	1	2	1	1	0	11
Total	2	6	3	3	6	6	3	4	T 35

TABLE OF STAGE BY DOSE

CICL	STAGE				DOSE ADMI	NISTERED	
Freque	ancy I	۱ ،	اه	12 l	1E	10	

Frequency	6	9	12	15	19	23	27	31	Total
Ia	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
Ib	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	6
IIa	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	4
IIb	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	6
III	1	1	0	2	2	1	0	0	7
IVa	. 0	2	0	1	3	2	0	1	9
IVb	Ō	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	2	6	3	3	8	6	3	4	36

TABLE OF REASON OFF STUDY BY DOSE

REASON OFF STUDY DOSE ADMINISTERED

Frequency	6	9	12	15	Total
COMPLETED	1	2	1	1	12
AE	0	2	0	0	8
PT SELF-WITHDRAW	0	0	1	0	1
PROG DISEASE	1	2	1	2	13
OTHER	0	0	0	0	1
Total	2	6	3	3	35

TABLE OF REASON OFF STUDY BY DOSE

REASON OFF STUDY DOSE ADMINISTERED

Frequency	19	23	27	31	Total
COMPLETED	3	3	1	0	12
AE	2	0	1	3	. 8
PT SELF-WITHDRAW	0	0	0	0	1
PROG DISEASE	2	3	1	1	13
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1
Total	8	6	3	4	35

TABLE OF RESPSTAT BY DOSE

RESPONSE STATUS	DOSE	ADMINISTER	ED		
Frequency	6	9	12	15	Total
NOT APPLICABLE	1	5	0	2	2 2
RELAPSE	1	1	2	1	9
LOST TO FOLLOW-U	0	0	1	0	4
Total	2	_ 6	3	3	35

TABLE OF RESPSTAT BY DOSE

RESPONSE STATUS		DOSE ADMINISTERED				
Frequency	19	23	27	31	Total	
NOT APPLICABLE	5	5	0	4	22	
RELAPSE	2	0	2	0	9	
LOST TO FOLLOW-U	1	1	1	0	4	
Total	8	6	3	4	t 35	

TABLE OF NUMBER OF PRIOR THERAPIES BY DOSE

NUMBER OF	PRIOR TI	HERAPIES		DOSE	ADMINISTE	RED			
Frequency	6	9	1 2	15	19	23	27	31	Total
<=3	1	3	2	2	6	2	2	2	20
>=4	1	3	1	1	2	4	1	2	15
Total	2	6	3	3	8	6	3	4	1 35

TABLE OF BEST RESPONSE BY DOSE

BEST RESPO	ONSE	DOSE ADMINISTERED								
Frequency	6	9	12	15	19	23	27	31	Total	
CR	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	
PD	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	
PR	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	0	6	
SD	о .	4	0	1	5	4	0	1	- 15	
Total	2	6	3	3	8	6	3	1	32	

TABLE OF DISEASE STAGE BY RESPONSE STATUS

RESPONSE STATUS

CTCL STAGE	NOT APPL ICABLE	RELAPSE	LOST TO FOLLOW-U P	Total
Ia	0	0	2	2
Ib	3	2	1	6
IIa	2	2	0	4
IIb	2	3	1	6
III	5	2	0	7
IVa	9	0	0	9
IVb	1	0	0	1
Total	22	9	4	35

TABLE OF STAGE BY BESTRESP

CTCL STAGE BEST RESPONSE

Frequency	CR	PD 	P	A	SD	Total
Ia		2	0	0	0	2
Ib	I	1 _I	1	2	1	5
IIa		0	0	2	1	3
IIb		1	0	3	1	5
III		1	2	1	3	7
IVa	İ	0 I	1	0	8	9
IVb	I	0	0 I	0	1 1	1
Total		5	4	8	15	3 2

Frequency Missing = 3

RESULTS OF STUDY P410

WEIGHT (KG)

QUINTILES

dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	47.5	56.3	67.3	78.7	92.1	104.4	134.3
18	53.6	57.1	62.9	77.6	93.2	98.1	122.5

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
9	3 5	80.9	18.8	3.2
18	3 6	77.7	16.7	2.8

Difference = 3.12 p = 0.46 95% Cl = (-5.30, 11.54) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.53

TOTAL # COURSES By DOSE ADMINISTERED

Quantiles

dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	1	1	2	6	8	8.4	10
18	1	1	1.25	6	8	10	11

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
9	3 5	5.3	3.0	0.51
18	3 6	5.1	3.5	0.58

Difference = 0.18 p = 0.82 95%Cl = (-1.36, 1.71) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.67

TOTAL # DOSES By DOSE ADMINISTERED

Quantiles

dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	1	4.6	9	3 0	3 8	40.4	50
18	1	5	5.25	28	40	45.3	5 3

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
9	3 5	24.51	15.28	2.58
18	3 6	24.31	16 86	2.81

Difference = 0.208 p = 0.9566 95% Cl = (-7.42, 7.83) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.97

AGE By DOSE ADMINISTERED

Quantiles

dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	3 6	37.6	4 7	64	7 2	78.8	91
18	26	40.4	50.25	65.5	71	75.3	7 8

Means arid Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
9	3 5	-60.82	15.34	2.59
1.8	3.6	60.86	13 30	2 22

Difference = -0.0325 p = 0.9924 95% CI = (-6.82, 6.76) Wilcoxon Test: **p=0.77**

DISEASE DURATION (yrs) By DOSE ADMINISTERED

			Quantiles	5			
dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	0.88	1.29	2.01	5.08	8.57	11.91	15.41
18	0.31	1.053	2.82	4.74	9.89	12.47	20.34

	Means and	d Std Dev	riations	
Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
9	3 4	5.76	4.03	0.69
18	3 6	6.05	4.57	0.76

Difference = -0.30 p =0.77 95% Cl = (-2.36, 1.76) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.93

AQUAPHOR % CHANGE FROM BASELINE By DOSE ADMINISTERED

			Quantiles				
dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	-100	-100	-100	0	14.56	256.25	600
18	-100	-100	-100	0	100	146.67	325

Means and Std Deviations Std Dev Std Err Mean Number Mean Level 26.04 171.10 9 30 31.24 18 31 3.31 109.75 19.71

Difference = 22.73 p = 0.54 95% Cl = (-50.67, 96.13) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.89

EUCERIN % CHANGE FROM BASELINE By DOSE ADMINISTERED

			Quantiles				
dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	-100	-100	-6.25	0	67.22	335	400
18	-100	-100	-100	0	90.625	195	400
		Means	s and Std D	eviations			
	Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err	Mean	
	9	3 0	38.52	132.13		24.12	
	18	3 0	15.42	120.51		22.00	
	Difference	- 23.10	n =0 48 959	6 Cl = (-42.25	88 46)	Wilcoxon Test	n=0.46

% CHANGE **PRURITUS VISUAL** ANALOG SCALE By DOSE ADMINISTERED

dose 9 18	minimum -100 -100	10.0% -99.55 -100	Quantiles 25.0% -96.68 -88.26	median 48.33 -52.17	75.0% -9.01 -12.50	90.0% 59.48 51.45	maximum 188.89 413.33
	Level 9 18	Means Number 33 33	and Std De Mean -38.15 -33.13	eviations Std Dev 65.67 94.93	Std Err	Mean 11.43 16.53	
		= -5.013 p =			35.13) V	/ilcoxon Test:	p=0.83

% CHANGE PHYSICIAN'S GLOBAL ASSESSMENT By DOSE ADMINISTERED

				-			
			Quantiles				
dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	-100	-97	-73.68	-50	-18.33	-2.22	44
18	-100	-100	-87.63	-57.88	-16.46	15.44	32.43
		Means	and Std Devi	ations			
	Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean		
	9	3 5	-46.56	35.27	5.96		
	18	3 4	-50.65	40.58	6.96		
	Difference	= 4.09	p = 0.66 95%	CI = (-14.16,	22.34) Wilcox	on Test:	p=0.54

CALCULATED % RESPONSE VALUE By DOSE ADMINISTERED

			Quantiles	3			
dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	-100	-100	-78.925	-58.025	-27.425	7.15	33.6
18	-100	-100	-81.8	-67.2	-31.45	20.54	59.9

	Means	and Std Devi	ations	
Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
9	3 4	-52.23	37.76	6.48
18	3 3	-54.58	41.87	7.29

Difference = 2.34 p = 0.81 95% CI = (-17.10, 21.79) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.51

DURATION FROM FIRST DOSE (MONTHS) By DOSE ADMINISTERED

Quantiles							
dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	0.46	0.80	2.56	3.94	5.78	6.81	12.48
18	0.69	0.85	1.43	4.63	6.51	7.82	12.94

	Means	and Std	Deviations			
Nur	nber	Mea	an Std	Dev S	Std Err	Mean
	3 5	4.1	18	2.65		0.45
	3 3	11	11	3 1/1		0.55

Difference = -0.23 p = 0.75 95% CI = (-1.63, 1.18) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.69

DURATION FROM FIRST RESPONSE By DOSE ADMINISTERED

Level

18

Quantiles							
dose	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
9	0.46	0.97	1.84	3.91	5.62	6.74	11.07
18	0.69	0.88	1.41	2.53	5.11	7.04	11.53

Means and Std Deviations Std Dev Std Err Mean Number Mean Level 3.89 9 3 5 2.40 0.41 18 3 3 3.50 2.71 0.47

Difference = 0.39 p = 0.53 95% Cl = (-0.84, 1.63) Wilcoxon Test: p=0.34

TABLE OF RACE BY DOSE

RACE DOSE ADMINISTERED

Frequency	9	18	Total
CAUCASIAN	28	25	53
BLACK	5	7	12
OTHER	_2	4	6

71

TABLE OF SEX BY DOSE

SEX	DOSE	ADMINISTERED	

Total 35 36

Frequency1	9	18	Total
MALE	20	17	37
FEMALE	15	19	34
Total	35	36	71

TABLE OF STAGE BY DOSE

CTCL STAGE DOSE ADMINISTERED

Frequency	9	18	Total
Ib	7	9	16
IIa	7	3	10
IIb _	9	10	19
111	5	6	11
IVa	7	8	15
Total	3 5	36	71

TABLE OF **DOCUMENTED** RESPONSE BY DOSE

DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

	DOSE A	DMINISTERE	_
Frequency	9	18	Total
NO	27	23	50
YES (> 6 WK BY D ERC)	8	13	21
Total Fisher's Exact	35 test: p=0.	3 6 30	71

TABLE OF REASON OFF STUDY BY DOSE

REASON OFF STUDY	DOSE	ADMINIST	ERED
Frequency	9	18	Total
COMPLETED	14	15	29
AE	11	15	26
PT SELF-WITHDRAW	2	3	5
PROT VIOLATION	1	0	1
PROG DISEASE	3	1	4
OTHER	0	1	1
WORSE DISEASE	3	1	4
STILL ACTIVE	1	0	1
Total	35	36	71

TABLE OF RESPONSE STATUS BY DOSE

RESPONSE	STATUS	DOSE	ADMINISTERED

Frequency	01	18	Total
NOT APPLICABLE	27	23	50
RELAPSE	6	6	14
LOST TO FOLLOW-U	0	1	1
ONGOING	2	4	6
Total	35	36	1 71

TABLE OF NUMBER OF PRIOR THERAPIES BY DOSE

NUMBER OF PRIOR THERAPIES

	DOSE	ADN	MINISTERE	D
Frequency		9	18	Total
<=3		4	5	9
>=4	3	1	31	62
Total	3	5	3 6	- 71

TABLE OF BEST RESPONSE BY DOSE

BEST RESPONSE

DOSE ADMINISTERED									
Frequency	9	18	Total						
CCR	3	3	6						
C R	1	2	3						
PD	1	1	2						
PR	13	17	30						
S 0	17	10	27						
Total	35	33	66						

Frequency Missing = 3

TABLE OF CTCL STAGE BY RESPONSE STATUS

CTCL STAGE RESPONSE STATUS

Frequency	NOT APPL	RELAPSE L	FOLLOW-		Total	
Ib	9	3	0	4	16	
IIa	7	3	0	0	10	
IIb	13	6	0	0	19	
III	9	<u> </u>	0	2	11 	
IVa	12	2	1	0	1	5
Total	50	14	1	6	1 7	1

TABLE OF CTCL STAGE BY BEST RESPONSE

CTCL STAGE

BEST RESPONSE

Frequency	CCR	CR	PD	PR	SD	Total
Ib	2	2	1	6	4	15
IIa	0	0	0	6	3	9
IIb	3	1	1	7	6	16
III	0	0	_ 0	6	5	11
IVa	1	0	0	5	9	15
Total	6	3	2	30	27	1 66

Frequency Missing ≈ 3

RESULTS BY DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

USE OF RESCUE MEDICATIONS By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

NO. OF RESCUE MED	DOCUMENT NO	TED RESPONSE YES	(%) Total
0	7 (14.00)	0 (0.00)	7
1	11 (22.00)	4 (19. 05)	15
2	5 (10.00)	0 (0.00)	5
3	27 (54.00)	17 (80.95)	44
TOTAL	80	21	71

P-value = 0.0 175

AQUAPHOR % CHANGE FROM BASELINE By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

resp	min	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	max
no	-100	-100	- 59. 52	0	100	243.75	600
yes	-100	-100	-100	-100	0	200	250

Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p=0.0035

EUCERIN % CHANGE FROM BASELINE By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

resp	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
no	-100	-100	-75	0	100	335	400
yes	-100	-100	-60	0	0	100	200

Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p=0.3006

% CHANGE PRURITUS VISUAL ANALOG SCALE By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

resp	min	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
no	-100	-94.07	-62.30	-25.29	-3.125	88.46	413.33
yes	-100	-100	-100	-95.08	-75.51	-40.22	-28.57

Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p < 0.0001

% CHANGE PHYSICIANS GLOBAL ASSESSMENT By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

resp	min	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
no	-100	-87.23	-61.43	-35.86	-11.36	16.64	44
yes	-100	-100	-100	-79	-64.22	-47.96	-30.77

Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p < 0.0001

CALCULAED % RESPONSE By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

resp	min	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
no	-100	-96.36	-67.43	-45.1	-11.55	24.52	59.9
yes	-100	-100	-100	-85	-70.8	-60.28	-60

Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p < 0.0001

DURATION FROM FIRST DOSE (months) By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

resp	min	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
no	0.46	0.78	1.18	3.06	5.09	5.79	6.74
yes	2.69	3.36	4.98	6.60	7.79	12.32	12.94

Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p < 0.000 1

DURATION FROM FIRST RESPONSE (months) By DOCUMENTED RESPONSE

resp	min	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
no	0.50	0.69	1.28	2.76	5.13	. 6.39	7.13
yes	1.45	1.64	2.07	4.37	6.72	10.70	11.53

Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p = 0.02 12

QUALITY OF LIFE DATA VISIT NO = 2

CHANGE IN PHYSICAL WELLBEING By TREATMENT

_		4.51	
(.)	เเฉ	ntı	les

Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-7	-3.4	0	2	8	11 .4	13
IL2-9 kg	-8	-2.96667	-2	0	4.458333	8	10

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	27	3.36728	5.24059	1.0086
IL2-9 kg	3 0	1.27222	4.28655	0.7826

Difference = 2.09506 95% CL = (-0.43622 - 4.62634)

t-Test: **p=0.** 1029,

Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: p=0.0924

CHANGE IN SOCIAL/FAMILY By TREATMENT

Quantiles

Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-8.16667	-6.62667	-4.66667	-1	1	2.333333	3.5
IL2-9 kg	-18	-7.5	-3.625	-1. 16667	1,166667	6.8	1 4

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	27	-1.61543	3.08914	0.5945
IL2-9 kg	30	-1 . 18889	5.65613	1.0327

Difference = -0.42654 95% CI = (-2.88465 - 2.03157)

t-Test: p=0.7294, Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: p=0.9489

CHANGE IN REL WITH DOCTOR By TREATMENT

Quantiles

Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-1	-1	-0.25	0	0	0	1
IL2-9 kg	- 2	-1	0	0	0	1	8

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	26	-0.19231	0.49147	0.09638
IL2-9 kg	29	0.137931	1.64152	0.30482

Difference = -0.33024 95% CI = (-1.00194, 0.34146)

t-Test: p=0.3750 Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: p=0.4747

CHANGE IN EMOTIONAL By TREATMENT

			Quantiles				
Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	- 6	4.3	-2	- 1	1.25	3.3	4
1L2-9 kg	- 7	- 6	- 3	0	1	3	4

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	26	-0.53846	2.56485	0.50301
IL2-9 kg	29	-0.82759	3.17433	0.58946

Difference = 0.2891295% CI = (-1.28338, 1.86163)

t-Test: p = 0.7138 Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: p = 0.9256

CHANGE IN FUNCTIONAL By TREATMENT

Quantiles

			- uuiiiioo				
Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	- 6	4.3	0	1	2	3.65	4
IL2-9 kg	-11.6667	-8	-1.5	2	4	6	8

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	26	0.480769	2.45960	0.48237
IL2-9 kg	29	0.281609	4.65029	0.86354

Difference = 0.19916 95% CI = (-1.84779, 2.24611)

t-test: p = 0.8460 Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test: p = 0.8318

VISIT NO = 3

CHANGE IN PHYSICAL WELLBEING By TREATMENT

0	uar	۱ŧil	20

Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-3.16667	-2.7	-1	0	3	11.5	15
U-9 kg	-6	4.8	-1	1	6	11.6	20

Difference = -0.61303 95% CI = (-3.88021, 2.65415)

Means and Std Deviations

 Level
 Number
 Mean
 Std Dev
 Std Err Mean

 IL2-18kg
 22
 1.94697
 4.72396
 1.0072

 IL2-9 kg
 25
 2.56000
 6.18145
 1.2363

t-Test: p = 0.7073 Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p = 0.8219

CHANGE IN SOCIAL/FAMILY By TREATMENT

Quantiles

Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-8.5	-7.81667	-2.91667	-1 .16667	-0.45	2.233333	4
IL2-9 kg	-19	-5.8	-3	0	3.5	8.7	13

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	22	-1.86061	3.16921	0.6757
IL2-9 kg	25	-0.00400	6.18892	1.2378

Difference = -1.85661 95% CI = (4.80725, 1.09404)

t-Test: p = 0.2116 Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p = 0.2119

CHANGE IN REL WITH DOCTOR By TREATMENT

Quantiles

Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	2
IL2-9 kg	- 2	-1	0	0	0.5	2	' 2

Difference = -0.11238 95% Cl + (-0.65432, 0.429561)

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	21	0.047619	0.74001	0.16148
1L2-9 kg	25	0.160000	1 02794	0.20559

t-Test: p = 0.6780 Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p = 0.7850

CHANGE IN EMOTIONAL By TREATMENT

0		nti	اما	
L	11.2	Int	Het:	86

Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-9	-4	-3	-1	0	8.0	2
1L2-9 ka	-8	-7	-4	-1	1	3	4

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	21	-1.57143	2.29285	0.50034
1L2-9 kg	25	-1.56000	3.39215	0.67843

Difference = -0.01143 95% CI = (-1.76757, 1.74472)

t-Test: p = 0.9896 Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p = 0.8500

CHANGE IN FUNCTIONAL By TREATMENT

Quantiles

			Q ualitics				
Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	- 8	-6.4	-2.5	-1	2	4	7
IL2-9 kg	-10	-8.6	-4.5	-1	1.5	7.4	14

Means and Std Deviations

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	21	-0.52381	3.58635	0.7826
IL2-9 kg	25	-1 . 11333	5.39348	1.0787

Difference = 0.58952 95% CI = (-2.19029, 3.36934)

t-Test: p = 0.6712 Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): p = 0.4122

VISIT NO = 99

CHANGE IN PHYSICAL WELLBEING By TREATMENT

Level IL2-18kg IL2-9 kg	minimum -15.1667 -7	10.0% -1.3 -5.9	Quantiles 25.0% -1 -2	median 2.1	75.0% 6.75 5.625	90.0% 16.2 11.9	maximum 21 16
	Level IL2-18kg IL2-9 kg	Means Number 28 32	2.11042	Std Dev 7.52450 6.35039	1.1	Mean 220 226	
	Difference			I = (-1.87919, {	•		
	t-Test: p =	0.3448 V	viicoxon rests	(Rank Sums):	p = 0.2410		
	CHANGE	N SOCIAL/F	AMILY WELL-I	BEING By TR	EATMENT		
			Quantiles				
Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maxımum
IL2-18kg	-7	-5.35833	-3.5	-1	0.75	2.6	7
IL2-9 kg	-13	-6.96667	-4.16667	-2	1.4	5	14
		Means	and Std Dev	riations			
	Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err I	Mean	
	IL2-1 8kg		3 -1.26012	3.20605	0.60		
	IL2-9 kg	31	-1.26398	4.98979	0.89	619	
	Difference	= 0.00386	95% C	SI = (-2.20945,	2.21717)		
	t-Test: p	: 0.9972	Vilcoxon Tests	(Rank Sums):	p = 0.8197		
	CHANGE	IN RELATIO	SHIP WITH D	OCTOR By TR	REATMENT		
			Quantiles				
Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-3	-1.2	0	0	0	2	2
IL2-9 kg	-2	-1	-0.75	0	0	0.7	1
		Means	s and Std De	viations			
	Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err	Mean	
	IL2-1 8kg	2	7 0.037037	1.05544		312	
	IL2-9 kg	3	2 -0.21875	0.70639	0.12	2487	

Difference = 0.255787 95% CI = (-0.20621, 0.717788)

t-Test: p = 0.2722 Wilcoxon Tests (Rank Sums): P = 0.2155

CHANGE IN EMOTIONAL By TREATMENT

			Quantiles				
Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-6	-2.2	-1	1	3	5.4	8
IL2-9 kg	-9	-7	-3	-1	2	3.8	9

Maane	and	6+4	Deviations
IVICALIS			

Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	27	1.10185	3.05263	0.58748
L2-9 kg	31	-0.93548	4.35075	0.78142

Difference = 2.037 - 95% CI = (0.03160, 4.04307)

t-Test: p = 0.0466 Wilcoxon Tests: p = 0.0530

CHANGE IN FUNCTIONAL By TREATMENT

			Quantiles				
Level	minimum	10.0%	25.0%	median	75.0%	90.0%	maximum
IL2-18kg	-11	-6.17	-4	1	6.4	12.2	14
IL2-9 kg	-10	-6	-3.92	0.5	3	10.2	17

	Mear	ns and Std	Deviations	
Level	Number	Mean	Std Dev	Std Err Mean
IL2-18kg	27	1.50247	6.33581	1.2193
IL2-9 kg	32	0.63542	5.97942	1.0570

Difference = 0.867 95% CI = (-2.34827, 4.08237)

t-Test: p = 0.5913 Wilcoxon Tests: p = 0.5371

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Most of the results in the previous pages pertain to study P410. The two groups of the study (dose 9 and 18) were fairly comparable with respect to all the demographic and medical characteristics we examine which included weight, total number of courses, total number of doses, age, and disease duration.

We examined percent changes from baseline in aquaphor, eucerin, pruritus visual analog scale, physician's global assessment, and calculated percent response value (page 9 - 10) None of the changes showed a significant difference between the two dose groups.

Duration of response from first dose (months) is provided on page 11. The median duration of 3.9 months for dose group of 9 and 4.6 months for the dose group of 18 was not found to be statistically significant.

Results of CTCL stage by dose administered are provided on page 12.

Documented response by DERC (**Data Endpoint** Review Committee) showed a total of **21** (29.6%) responders out of 71 patients (page 13). There were **8/35** ((22.9%) responders in the lower dose group and **13/36** (36.1%) responders in the higher dose group. The difference was not found to be significantly different (Fisher's Exact test: **p=0**. 30). The documented response was defined as two tumor burden assessments over a period of at least six weeks.

Distribution by best response is also provided in a table on page 14. Similar profile of response was observed in the two groups.

Percent change from baseline in rescue medicine by documented response was also examined and median results are provided on pages 15-16. Except for percent change from baseline in eucerin use, all other changes were found to be significant between the two documented responses, implying that those who responded-did differ in the use of rescue medications.

We also received quality of life data for study **P410**. We evaluated change from baseline at visits 2, 3, and the follow-up visit (99). These results are provided on pages 17-22. Quality of life parameters we examined were physical wellbeing, social/family index, relationship with doctor, emotional behavior, and functional index. The treatment dose groups were not found to differ significantly for any of the mentioned parameters at any of the three examined visits.

Additional analyses of data from **P410** study were also conducted. The results are not included in this report but were provided to the medical reviewer.

CONCLUSION

Study P401 was primarily a dose ranging study. On the basis of the results of this study, sponsor conducted study P410 with two doses, 9 mcg/kg/day and 16 mcg/kg/day. Due to small number of patients per dose in P401, response data could not be evaluated.

Study P410 is the primary randomized study. The two dose groups were found to be comparable with respect to baseline demographic and medical characteristics. The documented response rate in the higher dose was more than the response rate in the lower dose group; however, the rates were not found to be significantly different. There was an overall response rate of 29.6% in these patients. In general, the CTCL patients with the disease stage of 1 b to IVa do not expect to recover without a therapy. From the efficacy profile, both doses of the treatment were considered to be effective by the medical reviewers.